

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

272

CWP-7126-2024

Date of Decision :09.04.2024

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ankur Sidhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was fully eligible for group-D post wherein, the petitioner competed under the category of Eligible Sports Person (General).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the formalities were completed while filling the application form but petitioner has not been selected on the said post despite the fact that petitioner has secured more marks than the last selected candidate in the reserved category of Eligible Sports Person (General).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners by way of submitting the representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is

issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received from the petitioners and is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be extended to him otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

5. It may be noticed that in case, the respondents does not comply with their undertaking as recorded hereinabove, serious view will be taken including initiation of contempt proceedings.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

April 09, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No