



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

**RSA-2979-1996 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.05.2024**

Tejinder Singh and Another

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Canara Bank

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Navyuggeet Brar, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Munish Yadav, Advocate for the respondent-Bank.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants states that she has no instructions in the matter.
2. The present appeal pertains to the year 1996. Vide order dated 11.12.2023 notices were issued to the parties. As per the service report, notices issued to appellant Nos.1 and 2 in ordinary course, through Sarpanch and SHO concerned, have been received back unserved with the report that no such village exist in Tehsil Jalandhar. There is no other address available with the Court for effecting service of the appellant Nos.1 and 2 except the one mentioned in the memo of parties and in the judgments and decrees passed by the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court and is the same on which the notices were sent. Mr. Munish Yadav, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent and has filed his memo of appearance, which is taken on record. In view thereof, this Court is left with

2024:PHHC:073908



RSA-2979-1996 (O&M)

--2--

no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.

3. Dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive in case anything survives in the matter. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

23.05.2024
Ankur

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO