



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1617 of 2003

Date of Decision:21.10.2024

Inder Singh

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 3.

Mr. Vivek Mohan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

None has put in appearance in the Court today on behalf of the petitioner.

2. As mentioned in the order passed by this Court on 30.09.2024, the notice issued to the petitioner had been received back with the report that he had expired and learned counsel, who had appeared on his (petitioner's) behalf on that day, had acknowledged the above-said fact and had sought some time to move an appropriate application for proceeding further with the instant revision-petition and therefore, the case had been adjourned for the afore-referred purpose, while clarifying that no further opportunity shall be granted for the said purpose.



3. In view of the above-described facts and circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present revision-petition for want of prosecution.

4. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed accordingly.

21.10.2024
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*