

113
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7566-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 04.04.2024

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for
Mr.B.S. Mamli, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to work as the petitioner has the experience of more than nine years and to re-instate the petitioner with all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had filed a representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) to the respondents but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the aforesaid representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) is considered and decided by the respondents in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Samarth Sagar, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. He submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, he has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

04.04.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No