



consonance with the income of the petitioner and further, no order with regard to setting off/adjusting the amount being paid to respondent No.1, in collateral proceedings has been given, in view of the mandate of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ‘*Rajnesh v. Neha and another*’ (2021) 2 SCC 324,

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
5. However, learned Family Court, Gurugram is directed to decide the quantum of medical expenses to be paid by the petitioner for the treatment of respondent No.2, in accordance with law and also set off/adjustment in view of the directions issued by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Rajnesh* (supra).

December 02, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No