



210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15602-2023
Date of Decision: 26.11.2024

GURBHEJ SINGH @ BHEJA ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF PUNJAB .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. J.S.Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. On reference to the directions issued by this Court on 15.10.2024, status report dated 12.11.2024, through proper channel has been filed by the learned trial Court concerned.
2. The perusal of the status report reflects that the delay in the trial, has occurred due to non presence of the prosecution witnesses. All the witnesses are official witnesses. This Court is unable to comprehend, why the official witnesses, despite issuance of bailable and non-bailable warrants against them, are not causing appearance before the learned trial Court concerned. This Court deems it apt to pass an mandamus upon the SSP concerned, to ensure the presence of all the witnesses, as and when called by the learned trial Court concerned. Further, the trial Court is directed to make all the efforts to conclude the recording of evidence of all the prosecution witnesses, within four months from today.
3. Considering the heavy commercial quantity, as recovered from the present petitioner, and his antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant the ask for relief of regular bail. Therefore, the instant petition is **dismissed**.
4. Let the copy of this order be sent to the learned trial Court as well as Senior Superintendent of Police concerned, for its compliance.

26.11.2024
smriti

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No