

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 105

Civil Writ Petition No.7122 of 2024
Date of Decision: April 16, 2024

Ritu Panghal

..... APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the transfer order dated 16.03.2024, Annexure P-7, whereby the claim of petitioner for couple case was not considered, and she has been transferred from District Bhiwani to District Sirsa, whereas her husband is working in the same department, and in same district.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case she is permitted to make a representation to the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in the instant petition with a direction to the third respondent to decide the same within a specified period.

2. Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, appearing on advance notice, submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of one week, the

same will be duly considered and decided by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from receiving the same.

3. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Since the main petition stands decided, all pending application(s), are disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

April 16, 2024
avin

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No