

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-7616-2024
Date of decision: 26.04.2024

ANIL KUMAR

....PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Harsh Vasu Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil Mehndiratta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Central Government Counsel
for the respondents-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of letter dated 07.03.2024 (Annexure P-13) whereby representation dated 27.02.2024 of the petitioner has been rejected.
2. The petitioner, pursuant to advertisement applied for the post of ASI (steno). He has not been selected. He requested the respondent to supply his answer-sheet as well as marks of last selected candidate in his category i.e. O.B.C.
3. Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Central Government Counsel submits that marks of last selected candidate under OBC category are 50.50 and petitioner has secured 42.25 marks. He has not been supplied copy of his answer-sheet because there is no provision.

4. Without delving into the provision of RTI and contents of advertisement, this Court finds it appropriate to direct the respondents to supply copy of answer-sheet of petitioner because he is not seeking information with respect to other candidates. No prejudice is going to be caused to respondents, if they supply copy of answer-sheet of petitioner.
5. Disposed of in above terms.
6. Let needful be done within 4 weeks' from today.

26.04.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No