

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Neutral Citation No. **2024:PHHC:041638**
CRM-M-15008-2024
Date of Decision: March 22, 2024

Pardeep Kumar @ Kaka

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By way of this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a case arising out of FIR No.0045 dated 18.02.2024, under Sections 323, 325, 452 and 506 of IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. As per allegations, on the night intervening 13-14.02.2024 at about 2.30 a.m., petitioner entered the shop of complainant, wherein complainant was sleeping, gave beatings to him, abused him, tore his clothes and threatened him. Initially FIR was registered under Sections 323, 325, 452 and 506 IPC. Later on, fracture on the three ribs were found and so Section 307 IPC was also added.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; that no weapon is shown to have been used by the petitioner and so mere by hand, fracture on the ribs could not caused.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

6. Learned State counsel has placed on record the copy of the final opinion regarding the injuries pertaining to the complaint, revealing that fracture of right 9th, 10th and 11th ribs were found and after obtaining the opinion, Section 307 IPC was added.

7. After hearing learned counsel for both the sides, particularly, the submission made by learned State counsel, this Court is not inclined to grant any benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

March 22, 2024
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No