



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-1952-1997(O&M)
Date of decision : 16.09.2024

Punjabi University

... Appellant

Versus

Meena

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.S.S. Brar, Advocate
for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that since the dispute pertains to the year 1990 and in all probability, the respondent has retired from the service and thus, the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the case in case any cause survives.
2. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the case, if any, cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 16, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No