

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

240 CRM-M-15024-2024
Date of Decision.:03.04.2024

Lakhwinder Singh @ JassiPetitioner
Vs.
State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Prashant Vashsth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.212 dated 14.11.2023 registered under Sections 379-B(2)/34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Haibowal, Ludhiana- Police Commissionarate.

2. As per the allegations, FIR was registered on the basis of secret information to the effect that petitioner along with others are habitual of committing snatching from the people under threat of causing injuries with weapons.
3. Petitioner and others were apprehended from the disclosed place and mobile phones, cash and weapons were recovered from them.
4. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; and that he is not named in the FIR. Learned counsel further contends that similarly placed co-accused Rajesh Kumar @ Sonu has already been allowed bail vide order dated 04.03.2024 passed in CRM-M-

CRM-M-15024-2024

10415-2024 (Annexure P-2).

5. Notice of motion.
6. Mr. G.P.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State.
7. Learned State counsel concedes the fact that no complaint has been received by any person regarding any snatching incident committed by the petitioner.
8. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances particularly on the basis of parity, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 03, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No