

110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Neutral Citation No. 2024:PHHC:041855
CRM-13463-2024 in/and CRR-609-2024
Date of Decision: March 22, 2024

Jatinder Singh alias Bau ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Application bearing No.CRM-13463-2024 has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condoning the delay of 87 days in filing the revision.

2. The revision has been filed against the order dated 25.09.2023, whereby petitioners were charge-sheeted by the Trial Court concerned in case FIR No.0014 dated 16.04.2023, under Sections 323, 324, 365, 379-B, 148 and 149 IPC (Sections 307, 201, 341 and 34 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Mattewal, District Amritsar Rural.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that after the order framing the charges against the petitioners, they were not aware that revision could have been filed against the said order. It is only because of that reason, revision has been filed with delay.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any justification for filing the delayed revision after 87 days. As such, the application (CRM-13463-2024) is dismissed. Since the application for condonation of delay has been dismissed, therefore, the revision also stands dismissed being barred by limitation.

March 22, 2024

sarita

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reportable: Yes/No