



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

251

CWP-6699-2023
Date of decision : 09.05.2024

Gurtej SinghPetitioner

V/S

Punjab Agro Industries Corporation Ltd. and anotherRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Birinder Pal, Advocate for the respondent.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant and release the arrears of Assured Career Progression (ACP) after completion of 4 years and 9 years of service along with interest and further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to promote the petitioner from the post of Executive (Accounts) to Manager (Accounts).
2. On 29.03.2023, learned counsel for the petitioner had confined his prayer to the grant of ACP increment prior to April, 2015.
3. Pursuant to notice of motion, short reply on behalf of the respondents has been filed in the Court which is taken on record. In the said reply it has been averred as under :-

“xx xx xx xx xx

5. *That it is befitting to mention that the petitioner was appointed as Executive-I/Accounts with the respondent*



Corporation on 20.04.2012 and subsequent to his appointment as per ACP scheme he was eligible for 4 years ACP on 22.04.2016 and 9 years ACP on 22.04.2021. Now, the case of the petitioner was considered by a committee consisting of AO (F&A), Manager (A/CS) PAIC and Manager (HR) and the increment of the petitioner from the designated date i.e. 22.04.2016 and 22.04.2021 was approved. A copy of the statement of account showcasing the release of increment to the petitioner dated 31.01.2023 is appended herewith as Annexure R-1.

6. That since the grievance of the petitioner has already been addressed and the limited prayer of the petitioner has been addressed by the respondents thus, instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

xx xx xx xx xx”

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since the claim of the petitioner has already been granted, therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

5. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

6. With regard to the claim of the petitioner for promotion, he shall be at liberty to approach the competent authority for the said grievance.

09.05.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No