

2024:PHHC:041667

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP-7065-2024
Date of decision: 22.03.2024

RAMESH KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Rahul Verma, Central Government Counsel
 for the respondents-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1.

The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to issue him passport.
2.

Counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that police after completing investigation has already presented challan in FIR No.06 dated 23.01.2022 under Sections 323, 342, 506, 148, 149, 365 IPC registered at Police Station Nangal, District Roopnagar and charges stand framed. He further submits that in view of Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993, the petitioner may be issued passport.
3.

As per afore-cited notification, trial court is court of competent jurisdiction to issue direction to passport authority where challan has already been presented.
4.

Faced with this, counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to approach trial court in terms of afore-cited Notification.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

22.03.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No