

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7809-2024
Date of decision: 08.04.2024

AJIT KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate ground on account of death of his mother, who was working as Baildar in the office of respondent No.3, in view of the Notification dated 02.08.2019 issued by the Haryana Government, General Administration Department (Annexure P-7).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had served a representation dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-8) to respondent No.5-Sub-Divisional Engineer, Horticulture Sub-Division, HSVP, Kurukshetra and the respondent No.5-Sub-Divisional Engineer, Horticulture Sub-Division, HSVP, Kurukshetra had written a letter dated 07.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) to respondent No.4-Executive Engineer, Horticulture Board, HSVP, Panchkula but

the same have not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that the petitioner confines his claim to the prayer for grant of compassionate appointment only and at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the aforesaid representation dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-8) and letter dated 07.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) are considered and decided by respondent No.3-Superintending Engineer, HSVP, Horticulture Circle, Kurukshetra in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. He submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, he has no objection in case a time bound direction is issued by this Court in this regard.
5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintending Engineer, HSVP, Horticulture Circle, Kurukshetra to consider and decide the representation dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-8) and letter dated 07.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

08.04.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No