

(203)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

ARB-83-2019

Date of decision : 09.02.2024

SMID Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ram Shanti C.G.H.S. Ltd.

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate, for the respondent.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. On earlier occasions, time had been sought to file replication, after the filing of reply dated 20.09.2022, which has come on record.
2. The stand of the respondent in the reply is that there is no such agreement, on the basis of which the petitioner can pray for appointment of an Arbitrator. The agreement dated 22.10.2010 (Annexure P-1) is a tender document and the contract with the respondent never took place.
3. Keeping in view the fact that the said averment in the reply has not been controverted, and none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

February 09, 2024
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No