



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

325

CWP-1649-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.10.2024

RAM KUMAR & ORS.

.... Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA & ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Parul Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Shorn of details, suffice is to notice that the petitioners have approached this Court by invoking the writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, assailing notices dated 02.01.2002, Annexures P-4 to P-6, issued by the Sub-Registrar, Thanesar under the Indian Stamp Act, 1899.
2. It cannot be disputed by counsel for the petitioners that by virtue of the impugned notices, proceedings have been initiated under Section 47-A *ibid*, and the petitioners have been called upon to submit response, but, final order has not been passed. As the notices, Annexures P-4 to P-6, are in the shape of show-cause notices, it is necessary that the petitioners should appear before the authority concerned and file their replies.



3. Accordingly, petition is disposed of.
4. Parties are directed to appear before the competent authority on 10.12.2024 at 10:00 a.m. for further proceedings in accordance with law. It will be open to the parties to raise all the pleas before the authority concerned, who will determine the matter on merits after hearing the parties.

19.10.2024
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No