

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO No.2599 of 2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.04.2024

Smt. Kavita Devi
...Appellant
Versus
Smt. Ram Pati & another
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- None for the appellant.
None for respondent No.1.
As per order dated 25.10.2001, service
upon respondent No.2 not necessary.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

As reported by the Registry, the appellant had been served through her brother. However, none has appeared in the Court to represent her (appellant).
It is worth-while to mention here that on 22.02.2024 also, the appellant had remained unrepresented.
In these circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal in hand for want of prosecution. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed accordingly.

18.04.2024
seema
(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No