

214  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

RSA-1036-1996 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 12.03.2024

Marketing Committee, Hodel ... Appellant(s)

Versus

M/s Rawat Shop, Hodel & Another ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : None.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

1. On 21.11.2023 the learned counsel earlier representing the appellant had stated that file had been taken away by the appellant and hence notice was issued to the appellant. As per the office report, the appellant remains unserved as the person, namely, Mr. Rajbir Singh, AR, who was present at the time of service, reported that EO-cum-Secretary through whom the present appeal had been filed, was no longer in the office. It is strange that the Marketing Committee had refused to accept the notice only on the ground that EO-cum-Secretary through whom the present appeal had been filed was no longer in the office. In view thereof, it appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.
2. Dismissed for non-prosecution. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

12.03.2024 ( ALKA SARIN )  
Yogesh Sharma JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking  
Whether reportable: YES/NO