

**CRM-M-26227-2018****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(260)

CRM-M-26227-2018
Date of Decision: 22.08.2024

Kailash Chander @ Kailash Chand Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dharampal @ Pinky

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARAPresent: Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Mr. Pankaj Aggarwal, Advocate, the petitioner.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for setting aside the impugned judgment dated 09.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal/appellate Court in Criminal Complaint No.3878 of 2009 dated 19.02.2009.
2. Aggrieved by the reversal of conviction by the Sessions Court acquitting the private respondents, victim/complainant came up before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Although, this Court wanted to question the petitioner that how this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. would be maintainable against an order of acquittal when there is specific provision of leave to appeal is available under sub-Section 2 of Section 378 Cr.P.C. and provisions of Section 372 Cr.P.C. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits in between that petitioner-Kailash Chander has now expired.
3. Given above, present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE****22.08.2024**

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No