

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(121)

CWP-6836-2024
Date of decision: - 21.03.2024

Parveen Kumar
.....Petitioner
Versus
Union of India and others
.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Lalit Pardhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to redress the grievances of the petitioner regarding not being allowed by the respondents to complete the apprenticeship as per National Apprenticeship Promotion Scheme, with all consequential benefits arising thereto.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to seek alternative remedy, in accordance with law.
3. In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

March 21, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No