

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2712-2024

Date of decision 22.03.2024

Annu and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present Mr. Parvesh Jaglan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Birjesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article(s) 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who got married on 17.03.2024, at the hands of private respondents.

2. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

3. Mr. Birjesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to serve the private respondent(s) or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

5. The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 16.03.2024 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

6. This petition is being considered only for the purpose of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and the filing, pendency or

the orders passed by this Court in this petition shall neither be construed as any stamp of the Court *qua* the relationship/marriage of the petitioners nor shall be relied upon by any authority in any proceedings initiated against them under any other provision of law.

7. This order shall not operate as an *alibi* or defence to any proceedings which might be pending or may arise against the petitioners. Although, the State is duty bound to protect its citizens and only with the said motive, the present order/directions have been passed.

8. It is made clear that the filing of this petition and any order passed herein does not approve or disapprove the relationship of the petitioners and is not a stamp by the Court *qua* their relationship, as this petition is considered only for the purpose of ensuring the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners being citizens of this Country and for no other reason.

9. It is further made clear to the petitioners that, in case, any averment made in this petition or in the representation dated 16.03.2024 (Annexure P-6) is found to be incorrect, the State authorities are directed to take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

10. However, since this petition is being disposed of *in limine*, therefore, a copy of the complete paper book be sent through registered post to respondent No. 4 being father of petitioner No.1 as there is serious doubt with regard to him being even aware about the act and conduct of petitioner No.1.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

22.03.2024

monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned
2. Whether reportable

Yes /No
Yes /No