

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CWP-6892-2024

Date of Decision : April 03rd 2024

Tej Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present writ petition, the petitioner is also challenging the recovery of Rs.52,498/- which relief, the petitioner does not intend to press any further hence, the same be disposed of having been not pressed.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents are not releasing the further benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for despite the fact that an order has been passed on 06.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) hence, the respondents be directed to release the pensionary benefits in terms of the order dated 06.02.2024 in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the order dated 06.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) has not been complied with so far and all the benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for to be released after his retirement, have not been released, the same will be released to the petitioner within a period of six weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondents be directed to decide the claim of the petitioner for the grant of interest also as there was no impediment in the release of the pensionary benefits and as per the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in *A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997(3) SCT 468*, the petitioner is entitled for the grant of interest.

8. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of interest will also be considered while releasing the pensionary benefits and if the petitioner is found entitled for, the same will also be released to him otherwise due reasons will be given in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

10. Ordered accordingly.

April 03rd 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No