

2024:PHHC:134421



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.205

**CRM-M-14703-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.10.2024**

Mohinder Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for petitioner No.1 and
Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate, for petitioner No.2.

Mr. R.S. Thind, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate and
Mr. Fatehvir Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in case FIR No.0097 dated 23.09.2023, registered at Police Commissionerate, Police Station Division No.3, District Ludhiana, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of IPC and Section 82 of the Registration Act, 1908.

2. Vide order dated 10.04.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court for an amicable settlement.

3. As per the report dated 31.05.2024 received from the Mediator, the parties have settled the dispute between them. It is stated in the report that the first party-petitioner No.1 has given an amount of Rs.41,00,000/- to the complainant-Manpreet Singh, which was duly received by the complainant and his father namely, Gurjinder Singh on 31.05.2024. The

2024:PHHC:134421



receipt of the same has been given by father of the complainant. Besides the above said amount of Rs.41,00,000/-, both the parties have agreed that petitioner No.1 had already given Rs.5,00,000/- to the complainant.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI-Paramjit Singh submits that in compliance of order dated 10.04.2024, the petitioners have joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

5. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 10.04.2024 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).

8. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police office.

9. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

10. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.

2024:PHHC:134421



11. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

15.10.2024
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No