

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14731-2024

Date of decision: 08.08.2024

Resham Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.123 dated 20.12.2023 under Section 304-B of IPC registered at Police Station Khemkaran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1).

On 09.07.2024, the following order was passed:-

‘The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case bearing FIR No.123 dated 20.12.2023 under Section 304-B of IPC registered at Police Station Khemkaran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner is the father-in-law of the daughter of the complainant and there is no evidence available on record to indicate the complicity of the petitioner in the alleged incident. Even in the suicide note, no allegations have been levelled by the deceased against the petitioner and the alleged incident has not taken place in the matrimonial home.

The learned State counsel has filed short reply in the Court today which is taken on record.

Adjourned to 08.08.2024.

*In the meantime, keeping in view the law enunciated by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833**, at the first instance, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within two weeks from today*

and on his doing so or in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bond to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the Investigating/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

If the Investigating/Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, the petitioner would appear before the Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Investigating/Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Adjourned to 08.08.2024.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and the trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court. '

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sahib Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 09.07.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

08.08.2024
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No