

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(127)

CWP No. 7262 of 2024
Date of Decision : 01.04.2024

Sarla Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that petitioner is mother of one Yogesh Kumar, who was working on the post of Deputy Superintendent in the Department of Transport, Haryana and had unfortunately, died on 04.09.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the death of Yogesh Kumar, some of the service benefits were extended to his wife, namely, Sunita Kumari and there was no children born out of the wedlock between Yogesh Kumar and Sunita Kumari and unfortunately, even Sunita Kumari had died but the respondents have not released all the service benefits, hence, the petitioner being the only claimant, is entitled to receive the pending service benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 22.09.2023 (Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 22.09.2023 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

April 1st, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No