

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CWP-6882-2024

Date of Decision : April 03rd 2024

Pooja

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh Ghangas, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the answer key (Annexure P-7) and OMR sheet of the petitioner (Annexure P-6), the petitioner should have secured 48.75 marks, which marks have been secured by the last selected candidate as per the result dated 05.02.2024 (a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-8). Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the OMR sheet of the petitioner has not been correctly evaluated hence, the respondents are directed to re-check the OMR sheet of the petitioner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that without raising any grievance before the authorities concerned, the present petition has been filed and in case the petitioner is of the view that she has not been evaluated correctly as per the answers given in the OMR sheet and in case any such grievance raised by the petitioner by way of representation before the Commission, the same will be considered and appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of four weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

April 03rd 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No