

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CWP-6833-2024

Date of Decision : April 08, 2024

Nisha Rani

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the own calculation of the petitioner, keeping in view the final answer key, the petitioner should have been given more marks than the last selected candidate. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the OMR sheet of the petitioner has not been evaluated properly, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 15.02.2024 (Annexure P-11) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 15.02.2024 (Annexure P-11) has been received in the office of concerned authorities, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
7. Ordered accordingly.

April 08, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No