

CRWP-2628-2024
Date of decision: 22.03.2024

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioners, feeling aggrieved by the act and conduct of private respondents No.4 to 7, had moved the representation dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar, but till date no action thereon has been taken. He further submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioners will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural), to consider and

dispose of the representation dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No. 1 to 3 and submits that the representation will be disposed of in an expeditious manner in accordance with law.
5. After considering the rival contentions and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural), to consider and dispose of the representation 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.
6. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners.
7. Disposed of.

22.03.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No