



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8292-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 10.05.2024

Lal Chand
... Petitioner
Versus
Union Territory, Chandigarh and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Japsehaj Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate,
for respondents No.1, 3, 4 & 6-U.T., Administration.
Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate, for respondents No.2 & 3-CHB.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the Administration submits that in terms of Clause 17 of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006, the petitioner has a remedy of appeal to seek redressal of his concerns/grievances.
Be that as it may, the matter has been called out twice, but none is present on behalf of the petitioner to pursue the same.
That being so, the Court is choice-less but to dismiss the petition for non-prosecution.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

10.05.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO