



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M -15005-2024

Date of Decision : May 27, 2024

TIRATH KAUR

.....Petitioner

**VERSUS**

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. A.S.Barnala, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)**

1. On 9.4.2024, the following order was passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

“The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to her in case FIR No.515 dated 27.12.2023 under Sections 406,420,120-B of IPC and under Section 24 of the Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complaint in the present case was made against the proprietor of M/s B.M. Consultant, Village Sohana, Sector 78, SAS Nagar, Mohali and the petitioner had no concern with the said firm. He further contends that in fact, the husband of the petitioner i.e. Kuldeep Singh Gill is the proprietor of M/s Quiknoxx Solutions Private Limited, Village Sohana, Sector 78, SAS Nagar, Mohali. He further contends that even though the petitioner is a



CRM-M -15005-2024

housewife, yet she has been falsely involved by the police in the present case. As per him, the firm M/s B.M. Consultant is owned by the Sidharth Kumar son of Krishan Kumar.

At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer subject to the conditions provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr. PC.

Adjourned to 08.05.2024.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by ASI Kamalpreet Sharma, submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and she is fully co-operating with the investigation process and she is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is **allowed** and order dated 9.4.2024 is, hereby, made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)

JUDGE

May 27, 2024

ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No