



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 15014 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.05.2024

Vidya Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Gurjant Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seek quashing of FIR No. 500, dated 26.12.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 419 & 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula, alongwith all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).

[2] This Court, while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 10.04.2024, directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the validity of compromise.

[3] In pursuance of above order dated 10.04.2024, a report dated 15.05.2024 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. No accused has been declared as proclaimed offender.

[4] Thus once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No. 2 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioner; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR *qua* the petitioner. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the present circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

[5] The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

[6] Further, learned counsel for the petitioner, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Jayrajsingh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and***

another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589 and this Court in the case(s) of *Joginder Singh & another Versus State of Punjab and another*, passed in *CRM-M No.23739 of 2010* decided on 27.04.2011, *Rajinder Singh Versus State of Punjab & another*, passed in *CRM-M No.37395 of 2016* decided on 16.05.2017 and *Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another*, passed in *CRM-M No.20355 of 2022*, decided on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR is possible on the basis of a compromise.

[7] Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the petition is **allowed** and the FIR (supra) as well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioner.

May 22, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>