

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2589-2024  
Date of Decision:21.03.2024

SHIV KUMAR ...Petitioner(s)  
Vs.  
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue appropriate directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, who is being allegedly harrassed by the respondents No. 7 to 11 in collusion with the officials of the Police Station City Batala.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions from S.I. Jagjit Singh submits that in the present case, a representation for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner was received from him and it was found to be a case of civil nature. He further contends that the officials of Police Station City Batala have no concern with the civil dispute pending between the petitioner and respondents No. 7 to 11 and no police officials will try to disturb the possession of either of the parties.
3. In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, no further directions are required in the petition and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

4.           Needless to obseve that the parties in the present controversy  
will be at liberty to avail their civil remedies, in accordance with law.
5.           It is clarified that in case any cognizable offence is committed  
by either of the parties, the police shall be at liberty to take necessary action,  
in accordance with law.

**21.03.2024**  
amit rana

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking   :

Whether reportable               :

Yes/No

Yes/No