

141

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6675-2024

Date of Decision:20.03.2024

DALWARA SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in ***Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab*** in ***CWP No.2371 of 2010*** and ***Sukhjīt Singh and others Vs. Statdee of Punjab and others*** in ***CWP No.9936 of 2017***.

3. Mr. Pawan Kumar, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of above stated judgments of this Court, the respondents authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of

judgments of this Court in *Harbans Lal* and *Sukhjit Singh (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statements of both side, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.03.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>