

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6361-2023

Date of Decision:04.03.2024

GURPREET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arihant Goyal, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 14.07.2023, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to consider his appointment from 2012 instead of 2017 and place him at the relevant place in the seniority list dated 20.02.2021.

The petitioner during medical examination was found unfit and accordingly his candidature was rejected. The petitioner preferred CWP No.4307 of 2013 assailing rejection of his candidature. This Court vide order dated 31.08.2017 directed the official respondents to consider petitioner's claim of review. The petitioner in re-examination was found fit and issued appointment letter dated 03.11.2017. The respondent No.3 on 20.02.2021 issued a draft seniority list of Head Constables wherein the petitioner has been shown below the Constables recruited in 2013.

Reliance is placed upon judgment of Hon'ble Supreme

Court in Sunil and Others Versus High Court Delhi and Others, 2023 SCC online SC 516.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Arihant Goyal, Central Government counsel who on advance notice is present in Court for the respondents seeks some time to file the reply.

Adjourned to 21.09.2023.”

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-UOI, at the outset, submits that petitioner has been granted seniority as prayed by him and accordingly, he would be released notional benefits.
3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.
4. Disposed of as not pressed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.03.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>