



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CR-1804-2024

Date of Decision.:18.07.2024

Chhoti

Petitioner

Vs.

Gram Panchayat Vill. Pabasra and Others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this revision petition, petitioner prays to set aside order dated 18.10.2023, whereby evidence of the petitioner (plaintiff before the trial Court in case titled "Chotti v. Gram Panchayat" bearing CNR No.HRSO020001382017), has been closed.

Learned counsel contends that petitioner is a widow lady and that she could not produce the evidence as she was misled by the counsel representing her before the trial Court who never asked her to produce the evidence. Learned counsel requests for one more opportunity only and assures that the same shall be produced at own responsibility.

Perusal of the impugned order dated 18.10.2023 would reveal that after availing 09 opportunities to lead evidence, as the plaintiff- petitioner failed to conclude the same, the Court was compelled to close the same.



Considering the fact that petitioner had already availed sufficient opportunities, though no justification is made out but considering the circumstances as pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner requesting for one more opportunity, this petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to the trial Court to grant one opportunity to the petitioner to conclude her evidence, to be produced at her own responsibility. This is subject to ₹10,000/- as cost payable by way of Demand Draft in favour of the respondents- defendants.

This order has been passed without issuing any notice to the respondents, therefore, they will be at liberty to approach this Court, in case, they are aggrieved.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

July 18, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No