

CRWP-2583-2024
Date of decision: 20.03.2024

Versus

State of Punjab and others **...Respondents**

Present:- Mr. Sanjeet Singh Taank, Advocate
for the petitioner.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are apprehending threats to their lives at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and they have also moved a representation dated 16.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No. 2 - Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, Tehsil & District Amritsar. But no action has been taken till date.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harpreet Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2 - Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, Tehsil & District Amritsar, to consider the representation dated 16.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that this order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their relationship in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

20.03.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |