



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

508

CWP-3418-2004

Date of decision :25.11.2024

Deepmala Sindhwal

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Saguna Arora, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikash Chatrath, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of the order dated 29.01.2004 (Annexure P-4), whereby services of the petitioner have been terminated and for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to reinstate the petitioner as data entry operator.

2. On the last date of hearing, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, notice was issued to the petitioner for today. As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back served through his father. However, there is no presentation on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 submits that the petitioner was initially engaged as data entry operator vide letter dated 24.12.2001 on contract basis and she joined the duty on 26.12.2001, thereafter extension was allowed from time to time and last extension was upto

29.12.2003.



CWP-3418-2004

-2-

4. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed for non prosecution.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.11.2024
Nisha-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No