

ARUN GARG
V/S
....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present:- Petitioner in person (through V.C.).

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel refers to the Para No.3 and 4 of the status report which reads as under:-

“3. That similarly Representation bearing UID No.1682618 dated 30.10.2019 was moved petitioner with the Commissioner of by the Police, Nidhiana levelling allegations that the petitioner na lodged a complaint dated 13.04.2018 but ASI Ram Krishan and Inspector Sukhdev Singh have not taken any action on the said representation of the petitioner and therefore action should be taken against the said police officials, Enquiry on the aid representation of the petitioner was conducted by the Incharge, P.P. Lalton Kalan (P.5. Sadar), Ludhiana during which the said ASI Ram Krishan was joined in the enquiry and it was revealed that in the year 2017, the said ASI was posted for sometime at P.P. Lalton Kalan and the present petitioner had moved a complaint against one Prema Yadav on which enquiry was conducted by ASI Ram Krishan during which the allegations levelled by the petitioner were not established and therefore the said complaint of the

CRWP-3320-2022

2.

petitioner was consigned to the office record. It was further revealed that the said ASI has not committed any illegality nor any police action is warranted against the said ASI Ram Krishan. Therefore the said representation of the petitioner was consigned to the office record. Apart from it, Representations bearing UID No.1691315 dated 14.11.2019 and UID No.1906797 dated 24.10.2020 were also moved by the petitioner but during the enquiry, it was revealed that on the same allegations, repeated enquiries have already been conducted and therefore the said representations of the petitioner were consigned to the office record.

4. That it is further submitted that a case FIR No.48 dated 26.03.2022, u/s 354, 427 IPC, P.S. Sadar, Ludhiana has been registered against the petitioner in which the petitioner was arrested on 27.03.2022 and further investigation conducted in the said FIR. is being conducted in the said FIR.”

2. Having gone through the same while appearing through Video Conferencing, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to avail alternate remedy under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C.

3. Prayer is accepted.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

28.05.2024

spn

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No