



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.14885 of 2024

Aashish Masih & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

2. CRM-M No.14979 of 2024

Harshdeep Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

Date of decision: 23rd July, 2024

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Arvinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-14885-2024.
for the private respondents in CRM-M-14979-2024.

Mr. Kuldeep S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-14979-2024.
for the private respondents in CRM-M-14885-2024.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Both the petitions detailed hereinabove have been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.0225 dated 26.07.2023 under Sections 323/324/506/148/149 of the IPC (Sections 307/326 of the IPC added lateron) as well as its cross-version i.e. General Diary Details (GDD) dated 22.09.2023 under Section 323 of the IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Batala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of



compromise dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide a common order dated 16.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Batala, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR/GDD qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR/GDD in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Batala and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and**



others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, being a case of version and cross-version, both the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR/GDD and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 23, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No