

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

128 CWP-6693 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2024.

Balbir Singh ...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Sureshwar Thakur, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has instructions to say that he shall withdraw complaints (Annexure P1 and P4) on the date when they are fixed for hearing before the Sub Divisional Magistrate concerned.

2. In the wake of the above, the counsel for the petitioner has further consented to withdraw the instant writ petition, but he may move an application before the Tehsildar concerned, for making demarcation of the disputed lands. In case, therein some encroachments are revealed to be thus made on lands owned and possessed by the Panchayat, rather by some private individuals, thereupon the Sarpanch or, the Block Development and Panchayat Officer concerned, may proceed against such private individuals,

through theirs instituting a petition for eviction under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961.

- 3. Disposed of accordingly.
- 4. Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

09.04.2024.
komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No