

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-14208-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 25.04.2024

Vikram
...Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ashish Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

First petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') has been filed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 143 dated 25.07.2023, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station, Partap Nagar, District Yamunanagar.

(2) Allegations are that petitioner alongwith co-accused attacked complainant and gave injuries to him with *gandasi*, *danda* and iron rods.

(3) Contends that this Court granted interim bail to the petitioner on 19.03.2024 and in terms thereof, he has already joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

(4) Above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from the police officer present in Court and further stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage.

(5) Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

(6) It transpires that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 19.03.2024 and order reads as under:-

“ Notice of motion.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to have instructions and/or file written response in the matter.

Posted for 25.04.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing personal bond and surety to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

- (7) It is acknowledged by learned State counsel that in pursuance of above order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required at this stage.
- (8) In view of above, interim order dated 19.03.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
- (9) It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.
- (10) The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present bail matter.
- (11) It is made clear that in case of any recurrence on the part of the petitioner, the State would be at liberty to move an application for recalling of this order.
- (12) Disposed off accordingly.
- (13) Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

25.04.2024
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No