

S. No.104

2024:PHHC:039845

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14363 of 2024

Date of Decision:20.03.2024

Kulwant Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

**Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bokolia, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.31 dated 07.04.2023 registered under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC (offence under Section 325 IPC added later on) at Police Station Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga.

It is contended by learned counsel that co-accused Gurmail Singh @ Manda and others besides Rajwinder Kaur and Kirandeep Kaur have already been given the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 07.03.2024 passed in CRM-M-9565 of 2024 (Annexure P.3), dated 06.03.2024 passed in CRM-M-3316 of 2024 (Annexure P.4) and dated 06.03.2024 passed in CRM-M-5498 of 2024 (Annexure P.5) respectively.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Learned State Counsel points out that role of the petitioner is quite different inasmuch as petitioner is attributed to have given sword blow on the fingers of the right hand of the complainant. The role attributed to the accused who have been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail, was quite different.

After going through the paper book and hearing both the sides, this Court is not inclined to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, having regard to role attributed to him in the crime.

Dismissed.

March 20, 2024
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No