



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

RSA-2339-1995 AND
XOBS-1-C-1996
Decided on :24.09.2024

State of Punjab and others

. . . Appellants

Versus

Tarsem Lal

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. P. S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab
for the appellants.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Harinder Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent/cross-objector

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. As per the report of the registry, sole respondent has died.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the same, present appeal has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such but liberty may be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
3. In view of the same, present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.
4. In view of the fact that the sole respondent/cross-objector has died and the main appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, the cross-objection stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty is granted to the cross-objector to revive the same, in case the main appeal is restored.
5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the respondent on the address mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

24.09.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
~~Yes~~/No