

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212-2

CRM-M-13945-2024
Date of decision: 11.07.2024

RINKU @ RAMESHWAR DASSPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Tarang Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional A.G., Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On 18.03.2024, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“The present petition has been preferred seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.183 dated 02.09.2023, under Sections 406, 420 of IPC 1860, registered at Police Station Bahain, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as per the FIR there is no allegation against the petitioner of receiving any amount and the only role attributed to the petitioner in the words of counsel for the petitioner is that he has introduced the complainant to accused No.2 for sending him abroad, for which payments were made to accused No.2. He further submits that the accused No. 2 has also been granted the concession of anticipatory ball by this Court and now the matter is fixed for 10.04.2024. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to settle the matter if accused No.2 agrees, but nonetheless keeps his arguments open that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner and to demonstrate his bona fide, the



petitioner shall also get some amount on 10.04.2024 for a possibility of an amicable solution between the parties as respondent No.2 has already been put to notice for the said date in CRM-M-62663-2023.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation on 26.03.2024 at 11:00 A.M. before the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, even thereafter.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer and the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

List along with CRM-M-62663-2023 on 10.04.2024”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by the official respondent, submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is fully co-operating with the investigation process and he is not required for any further custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is **allowed** and order dated 18.03.2024 is, hereby, made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

11.07.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No