



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

508 (2)

CWP-2641-2004

Date of decision :25.11.2024

Yashodha Bisht

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Kaura, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Saguna Arora, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikash Chatrath, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner claiming the following reliefs:

“i) issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 29.01.2004.

ii) to issue any other writ or direction which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts circumstances of the case may kindly also be issued;

iii) to hold and declare that action of respondents is violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

iv) to dispense with filling of advance copies of notices; to dispense with filing of certified copies of Annexures

vi) to award cost of the petition in favour of the petitioner.

Vii) to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus direct the respondents to regularize the petitioner, keeping in the view that the petitioner served the corporation for the last ten years.



CWP-2641-2004

-2-

It is further prayed during the pendency of this writ petition operation of the order dated 29.1.2004 Annexure P-8 may kindly be stayed”.

2. Notice of motion in the present case was issued on 17.02.2004 and operation of the impugned order dated 29.01.2004 (Annexure P-8), was stayed.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that in pursuance to the interim order granted by this Court on 17.02.2004, the petitioner is continuing in service.

4. Since during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has served the department for more than 20 years, she will be at liberty to make a detailed representation to the respondents-Corporation for regularization of her services.

4. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that if any such representation is submitted by the petitioner for claiming regularizing, the same shall be considered and disposed of expeditiously and till then the impugned order dated 29.01.2004 (Annexure P-8) shall be kept in abeyance.

5. The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.11.2024*Nisha-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No