

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(126)

CWP-6681-2024

Date of decision: - 20.03.2024

**Manik Cashive****....Petitioner****Versus****Central Board of Secondary Education and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to rectify/correct the surname of the father of the petitioner in the Academic Records from 'Ajay Kumar Koundal' to 'Ajay Kumar Kaundal' as per Birth Certificate dated 04.01.2002 (Annexure P-1), Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2), PAN Card-father dated 13.07.2023 (Annexure P-3) and Aadhar Card-Father (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 24.06.2022 (Annexure P-8) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case competent authority of respondent No.1-Board considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of respondent No.1-Board to consider the representation dated 24.06.2022 (Annexure P-8) filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of respondent No.1-Board is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of six weeks.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.1-Board would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

**March 20, 2024**  
*naresh.k*

**( VIKAS BAHL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No