

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CWP-6768-2024
Date of Decision :10.04.2024

Yogesh Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection CommissionRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner competed for the group-D post as advertised by the respondents vide advertisement No.1/2023 (Annexure P/2) in the reserved category of ESP (Eligible Sports Person), which is clear from the application form submitted by the petitioner and all the required documents were also attached and though, the petitioner has secured more marks than the last selected candidate in the category in which, he was competing but he has not been selected for the post in question. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that no reason has come forward as to why, the petitioner has been denied the benefit of appointment especially, when the candidate lower in merit than the petitioner has been appointed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner by

way of submitting the representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received from the petitioner and is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be extended to him otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. It may be noticed that in case respondents do not comply with their undertaking as recorded hereinbefore, a strict view will be taken including initiation of contempt proceedings.

April 10, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No