

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206 (5)

CRM-M-13925-2024
Date of Decision.:30.04.2024

Gurmej Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana assisted by ASI Devraj.

Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Memo of appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Devraj, informs that investigation *qua* respondent No.2- Rajni is already complete and that State does not seek cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to said respondent No.2.

This Court has also gone through order dated 07.03.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar, whereby respondent No.2 was granted benefit of pre-arrest bail.

There is no merit in the present petition seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 30, 2024

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No