



108
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-2079-1995
Date of decision: 28.11.2024

State of Haryana and another ...Appellants

Versus

Sube Singh ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G. Haryana, for the appellants.

Ms. Nidhi, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Chahar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The suit in the present case was filed in the year 1991 by the plaintiff-respondent for declaration to the effect that letter dated 26.10.1990 and other similar letters were not binding on the plaintiff. The said suit was decreed and the defendants-appellants were restrained from implementing those letters and appeal filed by the State of Haryana was also dismissed.
2. Although, the present matter was admitted but no interim order was passed in favour of the appellants and in all probability, the respondent has retired and thus, nothing survives in the present appeal.
3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the same, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and same may kindly be disposed of as such at this stage but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the same in case any cause survives to the appellants.
4. In view of the above, the present Regular Second Appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage with liberty aforesaid.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

28.11.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No